## Cosmology

#### What is Cosmology?

It is the "ology" of the cosmos, the everything we consider our universe.

It is not, in my opinion, a quest for primal causes.

It is a search to go back in time as far as science can then run the clock forward and see if we can tell how the universe evolved and where it is going.

#### Cosmology



#### A brief interlude

The Hubble Ultra Deep Field

Point the Hubble Telescope at a particularly dark section of the sky in the constellation Fornax.

Look at an area with approximately the height and width of a tenth of a fingernail and record light for 400 orbits.



Thursday, March 14, 13

This is the area covered by a 1 sq mm piece of paper held a meter away.

Looked at for about 200 hours.

Looking back to 600 million years after the beginning of the Big Bang.

We see 10,000 galaxies

This made me start over with a psychology degree and become an astrophysicist.

## How did they/us all get there/here?



And what is going to happen to them/us?

#### A brief history

O Ptolemy, 2nd century ad – Geocentric Universe Copernicus, 1500s – Sun Centered Universe Newtonian, 1600s – Static, Uniform Universe Shapley, 1900s, Galaxy is huge but other galaxies are not island universes, they are part of our universe Hubble, 1929, Our galaxy is one of many that are far away and receding from us – An Expanding Universe Steady State vs Big Bang arguments

#### Continued

In 1948 Gamow proposed we should see the embers from the early universe

 In 1963 Penzias and Wilson found them, the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation. 1% of static on old projection tube tvs is a signal from the early universe.



#### We'll start with Hubble

- The universe is expanding radially away from us in every direction we look
- The expansion velocity increases with distance from us.
- Hubble's Law v=H<sub>0</sub>D
- The Hubble Telescope was put up to measure the distance to Cepheid Variables, distance measurements pin down the Hubble Constant.
- $\blacksquare$  H<sub>0</sub> = 74.3 ± 2.1 (km/s)/Mpc
- 1/H<sub>0</sub> ~ age of universe ~ 14
   billion Years







 ${\it o}$  The current paradigm is that we live in a  $\Lambda {\rm CDM}$  universe.

 ${\it \oslash}$  A universe with cold dark matter (CDM) and dark energy  $(\Lambda)$ 

The evolution of the universe is governed by Einstein's field equations.

Matter tells space-time how to bend, space-time tells matter how to move.



Thursday, March 14, 13

# How do we tell what is going to happen?

Friedmann Equations, derived by assuming a homogenous and isotropic universe and sticking matter and energy density into Einstein's equation for general relativity – means we need to stick in all the stuff, dark or not



 $(\frac{\dot{R}}{R})^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3}G\rho - \frac{kc^2}{R^2} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}$  $\frac{\ddot{R}}{R} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^2}(\rho c^2 + 3P) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}$  $\dot{\rho}c^2 = -3\frac{\dot{R}}{R}(\rho c^2 + P)$ k is curvature  $R(t) \propto t^{2/(3*(1+w))}, w = [\frac{1}{3}, 0], \propto t^{[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}]}$  $w = -1, R(t) \propto E^{Ht}$ 

## What will happen to the universe?

It will continue to expand forever or recollapse.

We must measure the matter and energy budget in the universe and stick it into Friedmann's equations to tell what's going to happen

Critical Density
 
$$ho_c = rac{3H_0^2}{8\pi G}$$
 $\Omega = rac{
ho}{
ho_c}$ 

- $\odot$  if  $\Omega = 1$  k = 0 flat
- $\odot$  if  $\Omega > 1$  k = +1, closed universe
- If  $\Omega < 1$  k = -1, open, expanding universe
- Coincidentally, the critical density is
  - Ø 9.2\*10⁻³0 g cm⁻³
  - ♂ Toss an electron into every 10 cm<sup>-3</sup>



#### We add up all the "stuff" in the universe to see what's going to happen to it



#### As fractions of density

photons - 5\*10<sup>-5</sup> neutrinos - 3.4\*10<sup>-5</sup> baryonic matter - .04 dark matter -.26 dark energy - .7 Ω = 1 k = 0 flat, almost perfectly

## We add up all the "stuff" in the universe to see what's going to happen to it



#### Let's Back Up

Its pretty arrogant to make a claim about the future without looking backward to see if any of this stuff is self-consistent.

#### Enter The Big Bang

The Big Bang says
The universe started out much smaller
It started out much denser and hotter
Space has been expanding since the beginning, hence the "bang"

It does not say there was a huge explosion

## If the Friedman Equations are correct

We should be able to run the clock backwards.

- We should reverse time and make the expansion into a contraction.
- We should be able to go back and say what we should see today if we understand how the universe evolved.
- We should then be able to run it forward and see if what we get is correct.



#### Key Predictions

#### Selemental Abundances

- Cosmic Microwave Background radiation
- Age of structures and structure formation in the universe
- The universe should be expanding



The early universe must have extremely hot and dense.

## What is the history of the universe according to the Big Bang theory?







#### Planck era

Before Planck time (~10<sup>-43</sup> second)

No theory of quantum gravity



#### GUT era

Lasts from Planck time (~10<sup>-43</sup> second) to end of GUT force (~10<sup>-38</sup> second)



Electroweak era Lasts from end of GUT force (~10<sup>-38</sup> second) to end of electroweak force (~10<sup>-10</sup> second).



Particle era Amounts of matter and antimatter nearly equal (roughly 1 extra proton for every 10<sup>9</sup> protonantiproton pairs!)

Thursday, March 14, 13



Era of nucleosynthesis

Begins when matter annihilates remaining antimatter at ~ 0.001 second.

Nuclei begin to fuse.

### Prediction 1 Elemental Abundances



Protons and neutrons combined to make longlasting helium nuclei when universe was ~ 3 minutes old.

### Hydrogen and Helium



Big Bang theory prediction: 75% H, 25% He (by mass). This prediction matches observations of primordial gases.



Thursday, March 14, 13



#### Era of nuclei Helium nuclei form at age ~ 3 minutes.

Universe became too cool to blast helium apart.

Thursday, March 14, 13
### Prediction 2 CMB

# Cosmic Microwave Back Ground Radiation

@ If we knew how hot it was then we know how much it should have cooled to by now.



Background radiation from Big Bang has been freely streaming across universe since atoms formed at temperature ~ 3000 K: visible/IR.



Thursday, March 14, 13





Expansion of universe has redshifted thermal radiation from that time to ~1000 times longer wavelength: *microwaves*.



#### Era of atoms

Atoms form at age ~ 380,000 years.

Background radiation released.

Thursday, March 14, 13

#### Then All Was Dark

Outil, reionization, the first stars start to form, the universe lights back up.

Structure forms.

# Prediction 3 Structure Formation

1 Gpc/h

Millennium Simulation 10.077.696.000 particles

(z = 0)



Thursday, March 14, 13

### Prediction 4 – Expansion

#### And we see expansion

 Redshift of all distant things, Hubble's Law



# There are problems with the big bang

They are fixed by something called inflation

Mysteries Needing Explanation 1)Where does structure come from?

2)Why is the overall distribution of matter so uniform?

3) Why is the density of the universe so close to the critical density?

An early episode of rapid inflation can solve all three mysteries!

#### Solution

#### Inflation

 Universe grew by 10<sup>78</sup> in volume between 10<sup>-36</sup> and 10<sup>-33</sup> seconds



Inflation can make all the structure by stretching tiny quantum ripples to enormous size. These ripples in density then become the seeds for all structures in

the universe.



Regions now on opposite sides of the sky were close together before inflation pushed them far apart.



Inflation of the universe flattens its overall geometry like the inflation of a balloon, causing the overall density of matter plus energy to be very close to the critical density.

#### So what's next?

Add up all the mass and energy in the universe and run the clock forward.

#### We add up all the "stuff" in the universe to see what's going to happen to it



#### As fractions of density

photons - 5\*10<sup>-5</sup> neutrinos - 3.4\*10<sup>-5</sup> baryonic matter - .04 dark matter -.26 dark energy - .7 Ω = 1 k = 0 flat, almost perfectly

# We add up all the "stuff" in the universe to see what's going to happen to it





Fate depends on amount of stuff in the universe

# Strange new things

If galactic mass follows light then most material would orbit with a Keplerian velocity v ≃ r<sup>-1/2</sup>, we see v≃ constant

If galactic mass follows light then most material would orbit with a Keplerian velocity v ≈ r<sup>-1/2</sup>, we see v≃ constant

O M(r) = v<sup>2</sup>r/G implies M(r)~r

If galactic mass follows light then most material would orbit with a Keplerian velocity v ≈ r<sup>-1/2</sup>, we see v≃ constant

O M(r) = v<sup>2</sup>r/G implies M(r)~r

We don't see this, in any wavelength

If galactic mass follows light then most material would orbit with a Keplerian velocity v ≈ r<sup>-1/2</sup>, we see v≃ constant

O M(r) = v<sup>2</sup>r/G implies M(r)~r

We don't see this, in any wavelength



Thursday, March 14, 13

If galactic mass follows light then most material would orbit with a Keplerian velocity v ≈ r<sup>-1/2</sup>, we see v≃ constant

O M(r) = v<sup>2</sup>r/G implies M(r)~r

#### We don't see this, in any wavelength



Thursday, March 14, 13





### CONVERGENT EVIDENCE

Newtonian Gravity

- Sinstienian Gravity
- Ø Virial Equilibrium
- Thermodynamics
- Structure Formation
- CMB power spectrum

- Coming to the same answer from several different starting points is what we want in science
  - DM almost universally accepted in astrophysics

#### Dark Matter is just

- Some form of matter that is too dim to see, too fast/weakly interacting to see, or simply does not interact with the electromagnetic force
- No problem with option three, leptons do not participate in strong interactions, not all matter interacts with all forces (except gravity)



Not Dark Matter

# Even weirder, Dark Energy

#### Standard Candles

Astrophysical phenomenon used to determine distance

Type 1a supernovae are very good, very luminous, probe the farthest distances well and relate distance to recessional velocity to confirm Hubble's Law.



# Except very far away

## Except very far away

Type 1a are underluminous at large redshifts (big distances)

### Except very far away

- Type 1a are underluminous at large redshifts (big distances)
- Either carbon fusion or stellar composition is different or space is expanding at a greater rate – accelerating
# Except very far away

- Type 1a are underluminous at large redshifts (big distances)
- Either carbon fusion or stellar composition is different or space is expanding at a greater rate – accelerating
- Light too redshifted/faint compared to Hubble's law, up to 25%

# Except very far away

Type 1a are underluminous at large redshifts (big distances)

 Either carbon fusion or stellar composition is different or space is expanding at a greater rate – accelerating

Light too redshifted/faint
 compared to Hubble's law, up to
 25%



We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match

- We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match
  - If an accelerating universe is considered the light curves we receive are corrected

- We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match
  - If an accelerating universe is considered the light curves we receive are corrected



- We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match
  - If an accelerating universe is considered the light curves we receive are corrected



- We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match
  - If an accelerating universe is considered the light curves we receive are corrected
- Universe appears to be accelerating at the farthest observable edges



- We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match
  - If an accelerating universe is considered the light curves we receive are corrected
- Universe appears to be accelerating at the farthest observable edges
- We end up with Dark Energy



- We know the intrinsic luminosity so just expand the curve until we get a match
  - If an accelerating universe is considered the light curves we receive are corrected
- Universe appears to be accelerating at the farthest observable edges
- We end up with Dark Energy
- Or some other reason space at its observable edges appears to be accelerating



n

8

Thursday, March 14, 13

Or, we have no clue what's going on

Or, we have no clue what's going on

There appears to be a mysterious energy dominating the dynamics of the universe which acts as a negative pressure

Or, we have no clue what's going on

There appears to be a mysterious energy dominating the dynamics of the universe which acts as a negative pressure

Think pressure acting on walls of universe, positive squeezes, negative pushes outward

Or, we have no clue what's going on

There appears to be a mysterious energy dominating the dynamics of the universe which acts as a negative pressure

Think pressure acting on walls of universe, positive squeezes, negative pushes outward

The importance of this energy has increased as the universe has gotten larger

Or, we have no clue what's going on

There appears to be a mysterious energy dominating the dynamics of the universe which acts as a negative pressure

- Think pressure acting on walls of universe, positive squeezes, negative pushes outward
- The importance of this energy has increased as the universe has gotten larger
- This energy appears to be increasing the expansion rate of the universe

Or, we have no clue what's going on

There appears to be a mysterious energy dominating the dynamics of the universe which acts as a negative pressure

- Think pressure acting on walls of universe, positive squeezes, negative pushes outward
- The importance of this energy has increased as the universe has gotten larger
- This energy appears to be increasing the expansion rate of the universe

The density is 10<sup>-29</sup> grams cm<sup>-3</sup>

It's the name we give to our ignorance

It's the name we give to our ignorance

It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion

It's the name we give to our ignorance

- It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion
- It's either a cosmological constant (the cost of having space and off by 120 orders of magnitude from QM predictions) or Quintessence

It's the name we give to our ignorance

- It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion
- It's either a cosmological constant (the cost of having space and off by 120 orders of magnitude from QM predictions) or Quintessence
  - as far as I can tell a cosmological constant violates conservation of energy, more space, more energy. Huh?

- It's the name we give to our ignorance
- It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion
- It's either a cosmological constant (the cost of having space and off by 120 orders of magnitude from QM predictions) or Quintessence
  - as far as I can tell a cosmological constant violates conservation of energy, more space, more energy. Huh?
  - Quintessence is a scalar field, not constant, behaviour may change with time

- It's the name we give to our ignorance
- It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion
- It's either a cosmological constant (the cost of having space and off by 120 orders of magnitude from QM predictions) or Quintessence
  - as far as I can tell a cosmological constant violates conservation of energy, more space, more energy. Huh?
  - Quintessence is a scalar field, not constant, behaviour may change with time
- Or it's something entirely else? Dark flow perhaps? Failures of GR at large scales? Locally underdense region of a greater universe? MOND?

- It's the name we give to our ignorance
- It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion
- It's either a cosmological constant (the cost of having space and off by 120 orders of magnitude from QM predictions) or Quintessence
  - as far as I can tell a cosmological constant violates conservation of energy, more space, more energy. Huh?
  - Quintessence is a scalar field, not constant, behaviour may change with time
- Or it's something entirely else? Dark flow perhaps? Failures of GR at large scales? Locally underdense region of a greater universe? MOND?
  In any event it's better than the alternative

- It's the name we give to our ignorance
- It's the name we give to what Einstein's field equations require to cause the observed accelerated expansion
- It's either a cosmological constant (the cost of having space and off by 120 orders of magnitude from QM predictions) or Quintessence
  - as far as I can tell a cosmological constant violates conservation of energy, more space, more energy. Huh?
  - Quintessence is a scalar field, not constant, behaviour may change with time
- Or it's something entirely else? Dark flow perhaps? Failures of GR at large scales? Locally underdense region of a greater universe? MOND?
- In any event it's better than the alternative
- At least in an entirely unimportant except for philosophically sort of way



An accelerating universe best fits the supernova data.

# Back to the drawing board

# Back to the drawing board

#### Future fates of the dark-energy universe



# Back to the drawing board



Depending on what this energy is and how it behaves we may either

expand forever everything dies

 expand too quickly – the universe rips itself apart, the big rip

eventually contract – big crunch

## We're at the point

Where we are relatively certain we know where we came from

We are quite uncertain as to where we are going

# Dark Energy or Retrograde Motion and Epicycles?

Dark Flow

 $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \frac{G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu} \text{ wrong?}$ 

- One way or another, inflation, dark matter and dark energy, are our present day "deus ex machina" fixes in cosmology
  - Either they are correct or cosmology sits on the precipice of a Coppernican revolution
    - Hopefully we don't have to wait 1400 years this time





## So pretty much

Ø Pick your fate

We don't know at this point

How long until we do is not known

Right now some models from the standard model suggest collapse, new cylce

Here is what I like to think is going to happen





## Thank You